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Abstract
Interactions between zinc (Zn) and phosphate (Pi) nutrition in plants have long been recognized, but little information is available on their molecular bases and biological significance. This work aimed at examining the effects of Zn deficiency on Pi accumulation in Arabidopsis thaliana and uncovering genes involved in the Zn–Pi synergy. Wild-type plants as well as mutants affected in Pi signalling and transport genes, namely the transcription factor PHR1, the E2-conjugase PHO2, and the Pi exporter PHO1, were examined. Zn deficiency caused an increase in shoot Pi content in the wild type as well as in the pho2 mutant, but not in the phr1 or pho1 mutants. This indicated that PHR1 and PHO1 participate in the coregulation of Zn and Pi homeostasis. Zn deprivation had a very limited effect on transcript levels of Pi-starvation-responsive genes such as AT4, IPS1, and microRNA399, or on members of the high-affinity Pi transporter family PHT1. Interestingly, one of the PHO1 homologues, PHO1;H3, was upregulated in response to Zn deficiency. The expression pattern of PHO1 and PHO1;H3 were similar, both being expressed in cells of the root vascular cylinder and both localized to the Golgi when expressed transiently in tobacco cells. When grown in Zn-free medium, pho1;h3 mutant plants displayed higher Pi contents in the shoots than wild-type plants. This was, however, not observed in a pho1 pho1;h3 double mutant, suggesting that PHO1;H3 restricts root-to-shoot Pi transfer requiring PHO1 function for Pi homeostasis in response to Zn deficiency.
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Introduction
Plants require phosphorus (P) and zinc (Zn) to ensure various basic biological functions and complete their life cycle (Poirier and Bucher, 2002; Sinclair and Krämer, 2012). Plants have evolved tightly controlled mechanisms to maintain Zn and inorganic phosphate (Pi) levels in cells. Lines of evidence suggest that mechanisms for homeostasis of these two elements are interconnected and that deficiency or excess in one element impact the nutritional status of the other (Safaya and Gupta, 1979; Loneragan et al., 1982; Cakmak and Marschner, 1986; Singh et al., 1988; Webb and Loneragan, 1988, 1990; Loneragan and Webb, 1993; Norvell and Welch, 1993; Huang et al., 2000; Zhu et al., 2001).

Zn concentration in wheat and maize plants has been reported to decrease with the application of P, while Pi
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concentration decreases with the application of Zn (Robson and Pitman, 1983; Verma and Minhas, 1987). In barley, Zn deprivation results in overaccumulation of Pi in shoots (Huang et al., 2000). Of note, the increase in Pi concentration in Zn-deficient plants can reach levels leading to Pi toxicity symptoms if high concentrations of external Pi are supplied (Loneragan et al., 1982). Overaccumulation of Pi in response to Zn deficiency might partially be the result of a specific induction of the expression and activity of Pi transporters (Huang et al., 2000). Taken together, these data indicate that Zn-deficient plants appear to have lost the capacity to down-regulate the expression of high-affinity Pi transporters in roots despite the presence of an adequate Pi supply (Huang et al., 2000). However, in Arabidopsis, a recent report by Jain et al. (2013) showed that Zn starvation causes a repression and induction of the expression of PHT1;1 in roots and shoots, respectively.

The uptake and distribution of Pi in plants involves multiple Pi transport systems. The Pi is acquired by the root system through specific transport proteins that include the high-affinity H+-Pi cotransporters (PHT) (Nussaume et al., 2011). In Arabidopsis, the PHT1 gene family contains nine members, PHT1;1–9. The expression of these genes is finely regulated at the transcriptional level and depends normally on the internal P status of the plant ( Muchhal and Raghothama, 1999; Smith et al., 2000). Once within the root symplast, Pi can be distributed to various organelles, including the vacuoles. Alternatively, Pi can undergo symplastic transport towards the vascular cylinder for subsequent transfer to the shoot. The PHO1 protein is an important component for the root-to-shoot Pi transfer in plants, being expressed in the root vascular cylinder and mediating Pi export to the apoplastic (Hamburger et al., 2002). The contribution of PHO1 to the root-to-shoot Pi transport was revealed by the fact that only 3–10% of the wild-type level of Pi is translocated to shoots in the pho1 mutant ( Poirier et al., 1991; Delhaize and Randall, 1995). The Arabidopsis genome possesses 10 homologues of PHO1, namely PHO1;H1–10 (Wang et al., 2004). Remarkably, only the most closely related homologue, PHO1;H1, could rescue the phenotype of the pho1 mutant when expressed under the control of the PHO1 promoter, indicating that most homologues have biological functions other than Pi transfer into the vascular cylinder ( Stefanovic et al., 2007). This conclusion is also supported by the wide expression pattern of PHO1 homologues (Wang et al., 2004), their differential regulation by phytohormones (Ribot et al., 2008a, b), their role in development of various plant organs and their response to environmental factors, such as wounding or blue light ( Kang and Ni, 2006; Ribot et al., 2008b; Zhou and Ni, 2009; Zhou et al., 2009). Members of the PHO1 gene family thus appear to play important roles in different aspects of biology of plants beyond Pi export to the vascular system.

Efforts in dissecting the molecular mechanisms involved in Pi-deficiency responses in plants have considerably increased the understanding of how Pi homeostasis is regulated in plants and have led to several proposed regulatory pathways (Rouached et al., 2010). In recent years, molecular mechanisms implicating regulatory microRNAs have been uncovered as a strategy to maintain Pi homeostasis in plants ( Fujii et al., 2005; Bari et al., 2006; Chiu et al., 2006; Hsieh et al., 2009; Pant et al., 2009). In Arabidopsis, a limited number of microRNA molecules have been shown to be specifically and strongly induced by Pi limitation. Particularly, microRNA399 (miR399) is characterized as a component of the shoot-to-root Pi-starvation signalling cascade ( Doerner, 2008; Lin et al., 2008). The mature miR399 can move from shoot to root via the phloem, where it targets the transcript of the E2-conjugase PHO2, leading to expression of Pi transporters ( Lin et al., 2008; Pant et al., 2009). The increase in miR399 accumulation upon Pi deprivation is strongly suppressed in the phr1 mutant that lacks a MYB transcription factor (Bari et al., 2006). This defined a Pi-signalling cascade, in which miR399 and PHO2 operate downstream of PHR1 ( Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2004; Aung et al., 2006; Bari et al., 2006; Chiu et al., 2006; Doerner, 2008; Lin et al., 2008; Pant et al., 2009). Loss-of-function mutation of PHR1 affects the expression of numerous Pi-starvation-induced genes, including the noncoding RNAs AT4 and IPS1 ( Martin et al., 2000; Shin et al., 2006; Bustos et al., 2010). Furthermore, PHR1 appears to be involved in the coordination of Pi homeostasis and iron in Arabidopsis through the regulation of the expression of the iron-storage protein FERRITIN 1 ( Bournier et al., 2013). Very recently, another player in the cross talk between low-Pi signalling and iron-deficiency responses in Arabidopsis was identified, namely the copper transport protein COPT2 ( Perea-García et al., 2013).

Zn is an essential micronutrient for plant growth. Zn deficiency manifests itself at physiological and molecular levels ( Sinclair and Krämer, 2012). Zn serves as a highly effective cofactor for more than 300 enzymes, including the structural Zn-finger domains that mediate DNA binding of transcription factors, as well as protein–protein interactions ( Sinclair and Krämer, 2012). Zn deficiency affects the content levels of essential micronutrients and macronutrients ( Jain et al., 2013). The transport of Zn within plant starts with its acquisition at the root periphery, followed by its loading into xylem. These transport steps rely on a diversity of gene families encoding cation transporters that play pivotal roles in Zn transport, including heavy metal ATPases ( Baxter et al., 2003; Hussain et al., 2004), ZIPs (Grotz et al., 1998; Guerinot, 2000; Palmer and Guerinot, 2009), CDFs (Mäser et al., 2001) or cation antiporters ( Hall and Williams, 2003). Tight regulation of intracellular Zn concentrations is a prerequisite for maintaining a functional cellular metabolism, which likely involves finely tuned regulation of these Zn transporters. A transcriptomic study revealed that Zn deficiency affects the expression of a large array of genes, in addition to those known to be involved in metal homeostasis ( Van de Mortel et al., 2006). Numerous observations reported the involvement of the low-molecular-weight chelator nicotianamine in the regulation of Zn homeostasis in plants ( Weber et al., 2004; Curie et al., 2009). So far, however, it remains unclear by which mechanisms plants sense and signal Zn deficiency and how this signal affects downstream genes acting in different pathways.

Despite the accumulation of physiological and molecular evidence for the existence of an interconnection between the
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Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

The Arabidopsis thaliana mutants used in all experiments were in the Columbia genetic background. The previously described phr1 mutant (Rubio et al., 2001) was provided by Javier Paz-Ares (CSIC, Madrid). A 1-kbp DNA fragment (before the ATG codon) of the PHO1;H3 promoter was fused to a β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene (pAtPHO1;H3::GUS) and introduced into Arabidopsis ecotype Col-0 as previously described (Wang et al., 2004). The pho1 and pho2 mutants were originally provided by Poirier et al. (1991) and Delhaize and Randall (1995), respectively. Two pho1;h3 T-DNA insertion mutant lines (SAIL_207_D02 and SALK_038711) were obtained from the European Arabidopsis Stock Centre (www.arabidopsis.info) (Alonso et al., 2003). The homozygosity of the pho1;h3 mutation was confirmed by PCR using the following forward and reverse primers: 5′-ATGAGTTGGAAAAGAGTTCTCCGTC-3′ and 5′-TGGCCTTCCATTTCCAAGAGATT-3′ for SAIL_207_D02 and 5′-ATGGAGCGTGTTGAAGCAACATT-3′ and 5′-CTA GTAATGCATCCTTCATCGTA-3′ for SALK_038711. Plants were germinated and grown in a vertical position on agar-solidified media (A1296, Sigma). The complete nutrient medium contained 0.5 mM KNO3, 1 mM MgSO4, 1 mM KH2PO4, 0.25 mM Ca(NO3)2, 100 μM NaFeEDTA, 30 μM H3BO3, 10 μM MnCl2, 1 μM CuCl2, 15 μM ZnSO4, 0.1 μM (NH4)6Mo7O24, and 50 μM KCl. Pi-deficient medium was made by replacing 1 mM KH2PO4 by 1 mM KCl. Zn-free medium was made by removing the only source of Zn (ZnSO4). Seeds were sown on the plates and stratified at 4 °C in the dark for 3 d. Plates were then transferred in a growth chamber for 20 d, day 1 of growth being defined as the first day of exposure of stratified seeds to light. Plants were grown under long-day conditions (16/8 h light/dark cycle, 250 μmol m−2 s−1, and 24/20 °C).

Phosphate measurements

Pi measurements were performed as described by Stefanovic et al. (2007). Briefly, weighed fresh shoots and roots were ground separately in water by incubation for 30 min at 70 °C. The quantification of Pi was completed by the molybdate assay according to Ames (1966).

Real-time quantitative reverse-transcription PCR

For expression analysis, Plant RNeasy extraction kit (Qiagen) and RQ1 RNase-free DNase (Promega) were used to prepare total RNA free of residual genomic DNA from 100 mg frozen tissue, with shoot and root being analysed separately. Total RNA was quantified with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). cDNA was synthesized from 2 μg total RNA using an oligo(dT) primer and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega). Real-time quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qPCR) was performed with a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System using SYBR green dye technology (Roche). PCR reactions (10 μl) containing 500 nM each forward and reverse primers, 5 μl SYBR Green I Master, and 3 μl of a 1:3 cDNA dilution. Reactions were performed in LightCycler 480 Multiwell Plates 384 (Roche) covered with optical film (Sarstedt). A list of primers, efficiency of amplification, and specificity of the amplified PCR products have been described by Rouached et al. (2011b). The following thermal profile was applied: 95 °C for 15 min and 45 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 58 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. Data were analysed using the Roche LC480 software. For each gene, the relative amount of calculated mRNA was normalized to the level of the control gene ubiquitin10 mRNA (UBQ10: At4g05320) and expressed as relative values against wild-type plants grown in complete (+Pi and + Zn) medium. Quantification of the relative transcript levels was performed using the comparative CT method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001; Rouached et al., 2008).

Expression analysis by promoter-GUS fusion and PHO1;H3-GFP fusion

GUS staining was performed on transgenic plants grown in agar-solidified media. Stained tissues were conserved in 70% ethanol at 4 °C after a progressive dehydration obtained from incubation in a graded series of ethanol, followed by embedding in LR White medium-grade resin or paraffin (Lagarde et al., 1996). The resin sections (2 μm) were cut using a diamond knife on a Reichart ultracut microtome and paraffin sections (10 μm) were cut and then stained with the periodic acid Schiff method (Leach et al., 1980). To measure GUS activity, plant samples were homogenized on ice in an enzyme extraction buffer containing 50 mM NaHPO4 pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 0.1% (v/v) sodium lauryl sarcosine, and 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and extracts were centrifuged at 2150 g and 4 °C for 10 min. The GUS enzymic assay was started by mixing 100 μl GUS assay buffer (2-times diluted extraction buffer, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 0.02% (w/v) Na2SO4, and 2 mM M-4-methylumbelliferyl-[β-D-galactoside] with 20 μl protein extract in a 2-ml microtitre plate, and was incubated at 37 °C. The amount of fluorescent product 4-methylumbelliferyl (4-MU) produced in the reaction was measured over time using a Fluoroscan-II luminescence spectrophotometer. The GUS activity was calculated as pmol 4-MU formed per μg total protein per h.

The complete PHO1;H3 genomic coding region from 1 kbp upstream of the start codon to the penultimate codon was amplified by PCR and first cloned into the Gateway donor vector pDONR201 using BP clonase reaction and then recombined into pMDC107 (Curtis and Grossnicklaus, 2003) using LR clonase (Invitrogen) to create a fusion with GFP. The resulting clone was confirmed by sequencing, introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens pGV3101, and transformed into the pho1;h3 mutant by the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). A PHO1;H3-GFP construct was also introduced into the pMDC32 vector (Curtis and Grossnicklaus, 2003) for transient expression in tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) leaves. Localization of PHO1;H3-GFP in Arabidopsis root and its colocalization with various marker proteins in transiently transformed tobacco epidermal cells was performed using a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope with an Apochromat ×63 water immersion DIC objective with a 1.2 NA. Binary vectors with cDNA of the Golgi marker ManI-RFP (AT1G51590) (Nebenfuhr et al., 1999), the plasma membrane marker CBL1-OPF (AT4G17615) (Batistic et al., 2010), the trans-Golgi marker SYP61-RFP (AT1G28490) (Sanderfoot et al., 2001), the endoplasmic reticulum marker ER-rKMcHerry (signal peptide of AtWAK2:mCherry:HEDEL) (Nelson et al., 2007), and the nuclear marker histone H2B-RFP (AT5G22880) (Sridhar et al., 2007) were used. Transient expression in tobacco leaves was performed as described by Arpat et al. (2012).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed through analysis of variance (ANOVA) and using the Tukey’s test to compare mean values.

homeostasis of Pi and Zn in plants, the key players acting in this coordination remain unidentified. The primary aim of the present study is to broaden the understanding of the Pi–Zn signalling crosstalk in plant. The effect of Zn deficiency on Pi homeostasis has been analysed in Arabidopsis comparing wild-type plants with selected mutants affected in Pi sensing, signalling, and transport. Functional and gene expression analyses helped to identify important genes acting in the interconnection between Zn and Pi homeostasis in Arabidopsis, namely the MYB transcription factor PHR1, the Pi exporter PHO1, and its homologue PHO1/H3.
Results

Zn deficiency increases the Pi content in the shoots of Arabidopsis wild-type plants and pho2 mutant plants but not in phr1 and pho1 mutant plants. In order to determine the effect of Zn deficiency on Pi distribution in Arabidopsis tissues, plants were grown in media with either low Zn (0 μM Zn) or low Pi (10 μM Pi) and compared to those grown on complete medium (1 mM Pi and 15 μM Zn). Mutants affected in interorgan Pi distribution or Pi signalling were included in this experiment, namely pho1, pho2, and phr1. The pho1 and pho2 mutants are characterized by accumulation of low Pi and high Pi in shoots, respectively (Poirier et al., 1991; Delhaize and Randall, 1995). The phr1 mutant is affected in the responses to Pi deficiency, including alteration of the expression of several Pi-starvation-induced genes (Rubio et al., 2001). Shoots and roots of 20-d-old plants were collected separately and Pi contents were determined (Fig. 1).

As expected, Pi starvation led to a decrease in Pi content in shoots and roots. Interestingly, the Pi concentration increased by 25% in shoots of wild-type and pho2 plants grown in Zn-deficient medium compared to Zn-sufficient medium. No change in Pi concentration was however observed in the shoots of phr1 and pho1 mutants grown on Zn-sufficient or Zn-deficient media (Fig. 1). In roots, Zn deprivation had no impact on Pi accumulation, except for the pho1 mutant in which a 15% increase in Pi concentration (P < 0.05) was observed. Overall, these results imply the existence of a process leading to the accumulation of Pi in the shoots of plants under Zn deprivation. PHO1 and PHR1 participate in this process.

The PHR1–miR399–PHO2 signalling pathway is not involved in the Pi response to Zn deficiency. To explore whether the PHR1–miR399–PHO2 signalling pathway is part of the Zn-deficiency response in Arabidopsis, this work analysed the transcript abundance of several genes involved in this pathway, namely PHR1, miR399b, miR399d, PHO2, and two Pi-starvation-responsive genes that are dependent on PHR1, namely AT4 and IPS1 (Martín et al., 2000; Shin et al., 2006). The expression of these genes was tested by qPCR for responsiveness to low versus high Zn or Pi in shoots and roots of Arabidopsis wild-type plants (Fig. 2). ZIP5 was also included as a positive control for testing the response to Zn deficiency (Jain et al., 2013). As expected, AT4, IPS1, miR399b, and miR399d were upregulated and PHO2 was downregulated in response to low Pi. In response to Zn deprivation, ZIP5 was upregulated by 3–4-fold in roots and shoots, indicating that plants were indeed experiencing Zn deficiency. Under these growing conditions, there was no change in the transcript level of the Pi-starvation-response genes in either shoots or roots, except for a small but significant increase in the expression of AT4 and IPS1 in shoots. These results show that Zn deficiency has only a minor effect on the PHR1-dependent Pi-starvation-signalling pathway.

---

**Fig. 1.** Effect of Zn availability on Pi contents in roots and shoots of Arabidopsis. Wild-type and phr1, pho1-2 and pho2-1 mutant plants were grown vertically on agar-solidified media containing 1 mM Pi and 15 μM Zn (+Pi,+Zn), 15 μM Zn and no Pi (–Pi,+Zn), or 1 mM Pi and no Zn (+Pi,–Zn). Pi concentrations were quantified in shoots (A) and roots (B) of 20-d-old plants. Individual measurements were obtained from the analysis of shoots or roots collected from a pool of at least seven plants. Error bars correspond to standard deviation from three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences compared to the +Pi,+Zn treatment within each genotype (P < 0.05).
Zn deprivation has a limited effect on the expression of high-affinity Pi transporters $\text{PHT1}$ in Arabidopsis. In monocotyledons, Zn deficiency has been shown to cause the upregulation of a high-affinity Pi uptake transporter, leading to the overaccumulation of Pi in the shoots (Huang et al., 2000). Since Zn deprivation induces Pi overaccumulation in Arabidopsis, this work investigated the influence of this condition on the expression of the Arabidopsis high-affinity Pi transporters $\text{PHT1}$. The transcript abundance of all the members of the $\text{PHT1}$ gene family was determined by qPCR in shoots and roots of Arabidopsis wild-type plants grown in media with low and high Zn or Pi (Fig. 3). In agreement with previously published data, expression of $\text{PHT1;1}$, $\text{PHT1;2}$, $\text{PHT1;3}$, $\text{PHT1;4}$, $\text{PHT1;7}$, $\text{PHT1;8}$, and $\text{PHT1;9}$ was consistently induced in roots of Pi-deficient plants. In contrast, Zn deprivation caused no significant change in expression of the $\text{PHT1}$ genes either in roots or in shoots, except for $\text{PHT1;1}$ which showed a 2-fold increase in transcript accumulation in shoots. These results are in agreement with the recent report by Jain et al., (2013) showing that Zn deficiency (15 μM) causes an upregulation of the expression of $\text{PHT1;1}$ in shoots with concurrent downregulation in roots, in comparison with Zn sufficiency (75 μM). With the exception of $\text{PHT1;1}$, which was controlled at the transcription level by both Pi and Zn status of the plant (Fig. 3), the expression of the analysed $\text{PHT1}$ genes appeared to be tightly regulated by the internal Pi status in Arabidopsis (Muchhal and Raghothama, 1999; Nussaume et al., 2011).

Expression of the $\text{PHO1}$ homologue $\text{PHO1;H3}$ is regulated by Zn deficiency. The effect of Zn deprivation on the expression of $\text{PHO1}$ and its 10 homologues was explored. Transcript accumulation was analysed by qPCR in shoots and roots of wild-type plants grown in media with low and high Zn or Pi (Fig. 4). In line with previous results, $\text{PHO1}$ and its closest homologue $\text{PHO1;H1}$ were induced in roots and in roots and shoots, respectively, in response to Pi starvation (Stefanovic et al., 2007; Ribot et al., 2008a). The transcript abundances of all other $\text{PHO1}$ homologues were not significantly altered. In response to Zn deprivation, transcript accumulation only of $\text{PHO1;H3}$ was changed, showing upregulation in both roots and shoots. Interestingly, the expression of $\text{PHO1;H3}$ was not upregulated by Pi starvation. This results suggests that $\text{PHO1;H3}$ plays a specific role in the regulation of Pi homeostasis under Zn deficiency.

$\text{PHO1;H3}$ is expressed in root vascular cells and localized to the Golgi. In order to have a better insight into the role of $\text{PHO1;H3}$ in response to Zn deficiency, this work determined its expression pattern and subcellular localization. Analysis of transgenic plants expressing the β-glucuronidase (GUS) gene under the control of the $\text{PHO1;H3}$ promoter
(Wang et al., 2004) revealed GUS staining primarily in the vascular system of the lower section of the petiole and in the mature zones of the roots (Fig. 5A). Only weak expression in the vascular cylinder of shoots could be observed (Fig. 5A). Transversal sections of mature roots revealed the presence of GUS in cells of the stele, including the pericycle and xylem parenchyma cells (Fig. 5A). Analysis of GUS activity using 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-d-glucuronide as a substrate revealed a 1.8-fold increase in activity in plants grown under Zn-deficient media (Fig. 5B).

The expression pattern of PHO1;H3 was also analysed in transgenic pho1;h3 mutant plants expressing PHO1;H3 fused with GFP under control of its native promoter. In the root, PHO1;H3::GFP was primarily detected in cells of the vascular cylinder (Fig. 6A–C). In cotyledons, some weak expression was also observed in epidermal cells (Fig. 6D–F). At the subcellular level, PHO1;H3-GFP expression was found associated with punctate bodies. Attempts to colocalize the fluorescence pattern to particular subcellular compartments in Arabidopsis failed due to a combination of the relatively weak expression and difficulties in obtaining adequate resolution. As an alternative, the subcellular localization of PHO1;H3-GFP was assessed via transient expression in tobacco (N. benthamiana) leaves that were coinfiltred with various subcellular markers. PHO1;H3-GFP did not colocalize with either the plasma membrane marker CBL1-OFP or the endoplasmic reticulum marker ER-rk-mCherry (Fig. 7A, B). Extensive colocalization was however observed with the Golgi marker ManI-RFP (Fig. 7C). In contrast, more limited colocalization was observed with the trans-Golgi (TGN) marker RFP-Syp61 (Fig. 7D). Since PHO1-GFP has previously been shown to localize to the Golgi and TGN in tobacco leaves (Arpat et al., 2012), the colocalization of PHO1;H3-GFP and PHO1-RFP was analysed. The fluorescent bodies associated with PHO1;H3-GFP largely overlapped with a subset of PHO1-RFP fluorescent bodies (Fig. 7E). This pattern of overlap likely reflected the stronger association of PHO1;H3 to the Golgi while PHO1-RFP is more equally distributed.

**Fig. 3.** mRNA accumulation of members of the PHT1 high-affinity phosphate transporter family in response to the availability of Pi and Zn in shoots (A) and roots (B). Wild-type plants were grown vertically on agar-solidified media containing 1 mM Pi and 15 μM Zn (+Pi, +Zn), 15 μM Zn and no Pi (–Pi, +Zn), or 1 mM Pi and no Zn (+Pi, –Zn). Shoots and roots of 20-d-old plants were harvested separately and mRNA accumulation was quantified by quantitative reverse-transcription PCR. mRNA abundance of the PHT1 genes was normalized to the mRNA abundance of the UBQ10 control gene and expressed as relative values against wild-type plants grown in +Pi, +Zn medium. Individual measurements were obtained from the analysis of shoots or roots collected from a pool of at least 12 plants. Error bars correspond to standard deviation from three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences compared to the +Pi, +Zn treatment for each gene (P < 0.05).
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Overall, these results revealed that PHO1;H3 and PHO1 share similar and overlapping tissue and subcellular localization (Hamburger et al., 2002; Arpat et al., 2012). The specific upregulation of PHO1;H3 under Zn deficiency, its homology with PHO1, and the colocalization of PHO1 and PHO1;H3 suggest a potential implication of PHO1;H3 in the regulation of Pi homeostasis in response to Zn deficiency.

PHO1;H3 restricts root-to-shoot Pi transfer requiring PHO1 function for Pi homeostasis under Zn deficiency. So far PHO1 homologues have not been tested for their functionality in response to different nutritional stresses other than Pi deprivation. Considering the results presented above, this work checked whether PHO1;H3 could play a role in Pi homeostasis under Zn deficiency. Two independent pho1;h3 knockout lines have been selected from the T-DNA mutant collection. Expression of PHO1;H3 was shown to be abolished in both lines (data not shown). In order to analyse the role of PHO1;H3 in transport of Pi within the plant, this work measured Pi content of shoots and roots in wild-type and pho1;h3 mutant plants (Fig. 8). Under full nutrient conditions, wild-type and pho1;h3 mutant plants showed similar Pi contents in the shoot. While Zn-deficient wild-type plants accumulated 18% more Pi compared to Zn-sufficient conditions, the Zn-deficient pho1;h3 mutant lines accumulated 30% more Pi content compared to Zn-sufficient conditions. This hyper-Pi accumulation phenotype of the pho1;h3 mutant was abolished by the expression of the PHO1;H3-GFP fusion construct under the control of its native promoter (Fig. 8). Altogether, these results indicate that PHO1;H3 restricts the transport of Pi from the roots to the shoot in response to a Zn-deficiency.

The PHO1 transcript accumulation level was assessed in wild-type plants and pho1;h3 mutants grown in presence or absence of Zn. The results show that PHO1 transcript level in either the wild type or pho1;h3 mutant was not significantly influenced by the presence or absence of Zn in the growth media (Fig. 9). Furthermore, the PHO1 mRNA accumulation level did not change in the pho1;h3 mutant in comparison to wild-type plants (Fig. 9). These results show that PHO1 is not regulated at the transcriptional level either by the availability of Zn or by the presence of PHO1;H3.

Considering the similarity in the localization and expression of PHO1;H3 and PHO1, PHO1;H3 can be hypothesized
to be involved in the regulation of Pi loading into root xylem via an interaction with PHO1. In order to test this hypothesis, a pho1 pho1:h3 double mutant was created. The pho1 pho1:h3 double mutant accumulated the same levels of Pi in the shoot as the pho1 mutant regardless of the availability of Zn in the culture medium (Fig. 8). This indicated that the contribution of PHO1:H3 to the control of the Pi shoot content in response to Zn deficiency requires PHO1.

**Discussion**

To date, research in the field of Pi and Zn nutrition in plants has mainly considered each of these nutrients separately (Poirier and Bucher, 2002; Sinclair and Krämer, 2012). However, Pi and Zn transport and homeostasis are highly coregulated processes. The existence of complex interactions linking the regulations of the homeostasis of the two nutrients has been recognized (Marschner and Cakmak, 1986; Webb and Loneragan, 1988, 1990; Norvell and Welch, 1993; Huang et al., 2000). In both dicotyledons and monocotyledons, Zn deficiency has been associated with overaccumulation of Pi in shoots. Despite Zn and Pi being of fundamental importance for plant growth, the biological significance and the genetic components involved in this Zn–Pi interaction remains undetermined. Although it is expected that a certain level of coordination and crosstalk must exist between pathways involved in Pi and Zn transport and signalling in plants, key genes acting in this coordination still remain to be identified. As shown here, the model plant A. thaliana also overaccumulates Pi in shoots under Zn deprivation. Interestingly, the Arabidopsis Pi-signalling transcription factor PHR1 appears to be necessary for this control, thus providing evidence in favour of a genetic basis for the interconnection between Zn and Pi homeostasis in Arabidopsis. PHR1 is a master gene controlling the expression of numerous genes under Pi-deficient conditions, including the so-called PHR1–miR399–PHO2 Pi-starvation-signalling pathway (Rubio et al., 2001; Franco-Zorrilla et al., 2004, 2007; Aung et al., 2006; Bari et al., 2006; Chiou et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2008; Pant et al., 2008; Bustos et al., 2010). However, this signalling pathway and some of its target genes did not appear to be active under Zn limitation either in shoots or in roots (Figs. 1 and 2; Jain et al., 2013). In particular, mutation in PHO2 did not abolish the Pi overaccumulation response to Zn deprivation. Altogether, these results revealed that PHR1 plays a role in Zn–Pi interaction but ruled out the involvement of the already known PHR1–miR399–PHO2 Pi-starvation signalling cascade in this process. In this context, it is worth noting that besides being involved in the regulation of Pi homeostasis, PHR1 is directly or indirectly involved in the control of many other metabolic processes, such as sulphate transport (Rouached et al., 2011a), ROS scavenging and detoxification, and light reactions of photosynthesis and photorespiration (Bustos et al., 2010). Interestingly, Bourrier et al. (2013) very recently demonstrated that PHR1 regulates the expression of Arabidopsis FERRITIN 1 and is thus a key element in the coordination of iron and Pi homeostasis. In this context, PHR1 can be hypothesized as a central player coordinating the interaction between Pi and metals in plants. The next task will be to discover the new signalling pathways involving PHR1 in the coordination of the Zn–Pi homeostasis to develop a comprehensive understanding of this process.

The identification of key genes involved in the coregulation of micro- and macronutrient homeostasis has become a major focal interest. In this work, in addition to PHR1, PHO1 appeared as another player for the Zn–Pi interaction. PHO1 was necessary for the increase in Pi accumulation in the shoot under Zn deficiency. Considering that PHO1 is involved in Pi loading in the xylem, the results suggest that PHO1 could be a target in the Zn–Pi interconnection signalling pathway as detailed below. The other potential targets of the Zn–Pi signalling interaction that were examined were the high-affinity
Pi transporters. In barley, Zn deprivation applied to plants grown with an adequate supply of Pi was shown to induce the expression in roots of genes encoding high-affinity Pi transporters, which are usually overexpressed only in response to Pi deficiency (Huang et al., 2000). Interestingly, in A. thaliana, no significant difference in the transcript accumulation of the PHT1 high-affinity Pi transporters in roots could be observed in response to Zn deprivation in these experimental conditions (Fig. 3). This finding was in agreement with transcriptomic data showing that the expression levels of the PHT1 genes were not affected by the application of Zn deprivation (Van de Mortel et al., 2006). Collectively, these results indicate that the regulation of Pi acquisition from the soil solution may differ between plants species in response to Zn deficiency. It is, however, interesting to point that unexpectedly the transcript abundance of PHT1;1 is upregulated in the shoots of Arabidopsis Zn-deficient plants. The hypothesized primary function of PHT1;1 is the uptake of Pi from the soil and apoplastic space, particularly in roots (Nussaume et al., 2011). Its precise physiological role in shoots and regulation by Zn status requires further investigation. More studies on regulation of PHT1 protein accumulation and Pi transport activity are required to explain contribution of these Pi transporters under Zn deficiency.

This work identified PHO1;H3 as an important player in the crosstalk between Zn deficiency signalling and the regulation of Pi homeostasis in Arabidopsis. Indeed, PHO1;H3 was significantly induced by Zn deficiency (Fig. 4). Furthermore, the pho1;h3 mutant accumulated similar levels of Pi as the wild type in the control condition, but accumulated significantly more Pi than the wild type when grown in Zn-free medium (Fig. 8). This results is intriguing, since the similarity in the expression pattern and subcellular localization of PHO1 and PHO1;H3 (Figs. 6 and 7) could be interpreted as an indication that both genes play a similar role in Pi transport from root to shoot. However, previous studies have shown that expression of PHO1;H3 under the control of the PHO1 promoter failed to complement the pho1 mutant (Stefanovic et al., 2007). An attractive hypothesis to explain the results of this work would be that PHO1;H3 negatively regulates PHO1 activity in Pi transport to the shoot under Zn deficiency. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that PHO1 appears to be necessary for the overaccumulation of Pi in the shoot in response to Zn deprivation and that the pho1 pho1;h3 double mutant displays an equivalent Pi content in the shoot as the pho1 mutant regardless of the availability of Zn in the culture medium (Fig. 8). It is tempting to speculate that PHO1;H3 and PHO1 may directly interact to regulate the transfer of Pi to the shoot in response to Zn limitation. The modulation of the activity of PHO1 as a result of a protein–protein interaction has already been reported (Liu et al., 2012). This process involves PHO2, an E2-conjugase, which was shown to be a negative regulator of PHO1 activity via its degradation. PHO1 and PHO2 physically interact to regulate – and restrict – the transfer of Pi to the shoot depending on the Pi status of the plant. Under Pi deficiency, the abundance of the PHO2 protein is reduced, which leads to an increase in the accumulation of the PHO1 protein and consequently enhances the capacity of the plant to
transfer Pi to the shoot. Plants have the ability to adjust their Pi absorption capacity to maintain Pi concentration within physiological limits in their tissues. In this respect, PHO2 contributes to limit Pi overaccumulation and the pho2 mutant is characterized by Pi overaccumulation in shoots, leading to Pi toxicity (Delhaize and Randall, 1995; Dong et al., 1998;
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Aung et al., 2006). Interestingly, the present work suggests that modulation of PHO1;H3 may be another mechanism limiting excessive Pi accumulation. It will be necessary to further investigate whether PHO1;H3 interacts directly with PHO1 and as a result can modulate its activity in response to Zn availability. In *Arabidopsis*, it has already been shown for sulphate (Rouached et al., 2008), potassium (Honsbein et al., 2009), and water (Fetter et al., 2004; Zelazny et al., 2007) that the coexpression of two different members of a multigenic family of transporters can interact with each other to change their subcellular location or activity, thus regulating the transport of the corresponding nutrient. Such mechanisms are thought to fine-tune plant metabolism and growth under different environmental conditions and could also occur for Pi nutrition.
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